

## Broadstairs Proposed Modification Responses

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
Highways Agency	Kevin	Bown		<p>Thank you for consulting Highways England regarding the above, seeking comments no later than 1 November 2019.</p> <p>Highways England has been appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport as strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the strategic road network (SRN). The SRN is a critical national asset and as such Highways England works to ensure that it operates and is managed in the public interest, both in respect of current activities and needs as well as in providing effective stewardship of its long-term operation and integrity.</p> <p>We will be concerned with proposals that have the potential to impact on the safety, reliability and/or efficient operation of the SRN, in this case the M2/A2 corridor in East Kent.</p> <p>Broadstairs &amp; St Peters, the plan is at the post examination stage at which various amendments are proposed prior to the local referendum. Again given the matters involved (local green space designations to be deleted) we have no comments, but would like to be notified of the eventual outcome regarding whether the plan is adopted.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Gordon	Taylor		I believe that the Neighbourhood Plan should remain as approved by the Independent Examiner and should be put to a local referendum as is.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Gordon	Taylor	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Natural England		Natural England		<p>Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 19 September 2019.</p> <p>Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.</p> <p>Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted on draft neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums where they consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made.</p> <p>Natural England does not have any specific comments on the proposed modifications to the Broadstairs &amp; St Peters Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	
	Rosalind	Binks		<p>I am completely against these modifications that have been proposed by TDC officers. Both spaces were accepted by the Independent Examiner and TDC had every chance to question their inclusion at an early stage. They failed to do so. The officer report to Councillors, on which the decision to grant this questionable consultation was based, was in my view misleading, vague in detail and incorrectly referred to TDC plans that at the time of the Neighbourhood's submission in 2018 were in development stage and are still not approved.</p> <p>1 The Rumfields Road site is not part of a future Highways Plan as any highways use of the land there would make the junction extremely dangerous. To infer that it might be used as such is in my opinion spurious. I understand local residents are in contact with the landowners to enhance this green space.</p> <p>2. The Reading Street site has been termed as grass verge. It most definitely is not, but it is a small oasis enjoyed as public space for many years, with seating that is regularly in use by local residents, flower tubs and a postbox, replacing the lost post office. It plays an important role in maintaining the village look of the Reading Street Conservation Area. Ownership rights are unaffected by the designation of a space and this land has no known owner. Neither TDC, KCC nor any nearby landowner have any right to expropriate this land. Right of access is already adequately provided where required and among the general guidelines for Highways issues, there is one that includes the need for suitable highways design in sensitive locations such as Conservation Areas.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Rosalind	Binks	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Ruth	Bailey		The Neighbourhood Plan was completed, after a lot of hard work and consideration, and has been judged sound by an Independent Examiner.	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>Subsequent to the Plan being approved TDC stated that it proposed to remove two green spaces without any real convincing reasons why.</p> <p>Broadstairs Town Council have sought legal advice which concluded that they were within their rights to stand firm and defend their decision and their plan. The Town Council have consequently voted to bring the matter to the attention of the Secretary of State with the support of the local MP.</p> <p>Despite this TDC have launched this consultation instead of waiting to hear the views of the SoS.</p> <p>If these green spaces are removed from the plan they would then be at risk from development - particularly the space in Reading Street at the entrance to the former Club Union Convalescent Home.</p> <p>A previous planning application on the Club Union site was rejected and an appeal overturned. However, there is currently another live application, which BTC Planning Committee have objected to on grounds of over-development and inadequate access among other things.</p> <p>We must protect our few green spaces. They are an established part of the community - particularly the space in Reading Street which forms an essential part of the village scene with the public benches offering a resting and meeting place for local people as it has done for many, many years.</p>	
	Ruth	Bailey	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Jeffery		I object to the proposed modifications to remove the 2 local green paces (at the corner of Fairfield Road / Rumfields Road and in Reading Street) from the plan. These green spaces were selected by the community and should be retained. Green spaces are in severe decline & are an oasis for insects and other wildlife in an increasingly concrete & block-paved world.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Jeffery	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
C.P.R.E	david	morrish		Thanet CPRE has been following the progress of the Broadstairs & St Peter's Neighbourhood Plan with great interest as the most advanced evidence	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>of ‘localism in practice’ in the District. We were pleased to note that the Independent Examiner had approved the Plan with some amendments and that the Town Council had subsequently requested a local Referendum. The decision of Thanet District Council on advice of officers to instead go out for consultation for the amendment of the Plan is based on an incorrect interpretation. The Town Council, we are advised, sought legal opinion and on the basis of that opinion has approached the Secretary of State to intervene by insisting on the local Referendum. It seems that the District Council has been made aware of the situation yet persists in going out for a superfluous consultation in a fit of prevarication. We understand that the Town Clerk has already asked that the proposed consultation be halted and we firmly support her request in the interests of despatch and expediency. The version of the Broadstairs &amp; St Peter’s Neighbourhood Plan as agreed by the Independent Examiner should stand unamended and we look forward to a very early Referendum.</p>	
C.P.R.E	david	morrish	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	harry	Kemp	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Pauline	Martin	Yes		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	laura	scotney		<p>it is totally unacceptable to refuse these 2 proposals after previous consultation, agreement and endorsement by the examiner and all the work done on the Neighbourhood Plan. No real reason is given and it can only be assumed it is to ease planning proposals yet again. I believe it should be taken further as this is an abuse of power by TDC.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	laura	scotney	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Sue	Wall		<p>These two areas of Local Green Space were included in the original consultation and no objections were raised. The independent examiner did not ask for them</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				to be removed. What has changed? Why does TDC now consider them to be unsuitable to be included? The NDP should have progressed to referendum months ago. This is an unjustified delay. The Town Council has been given detailed legal advice on this and is appealing against this second consultation period. Why has TDC started this second consultation process knowing that this legal appeal is in progress? TDC was originally very supportive of the the NDP process but has now changed attitude. Why has this happened?	
	Sue	Wall	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
The Broadstairs Society	Peter	Lorenzo		<p>The Broadstairs and St.Peter's Neighbourhood Plan has been developed with due diligence and with the active involvement of the community sitting as equals on the Neighbourhood Plan Sub-Committee. The Town Clerk is herself a Planner and has acted professionally at all times in the preparation of the Plan.</p> <p>The action or, rather inaction, of the District Council leaves the Broadstairs Society both perplexed and annoyed in that, having read the report to Cabinet and the legal opinion produced for the Town Council, it is clear that the report to Cabinet is defective on the points raised by the firm of solicitors acting for the Town Council. Consequently, the Town Clerk was instructed to write ot the Secretary of State for the Environment asking that the District Council be instructed to undertake the local referendum. The District Council knows of the action taken yet persists in consulting on two parcels of land rather than wait for the Secretary of State's decision.</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Plan, as agreed and modified by the independent examiner, should go to local referendum.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
The Broadstairs Society	Peter	Lorenzo	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Paul	Machin		I am Vice Chairman of the "Broadstairs Society" and thus have an active interest in the future of Broadstairs & St. Peters. The preservation of the "green areas" is an essential factor both to preserve the environment and for the community in the area. These have been set out in the "Consultation on amendments to the "Broadstairs & St.Peters' Local Plan" which has been	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>approved by the Independent Examiner.</p> <p>These amendments should remain unchanged to meet these important issues.</p>	
	Paul	Machin	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Julia	Arnheim		<p>Thanet District Council is suggesting that two of the Local Green Space designations are contrary to national policy and the Thanet Local Plan. However, I believe the Fairfield Road/Rumfields Road and Reading Street areas meet the National Planning Policy Framework criteria for LGSs. These spaces have been selected by the community as being important to them. The legal advice obtained by the town council came down on the town councils' side.</p> <p>It is now universally acknowledged that green spaces are necessary for health and well being. It would be prudent for TDC to be encouraging LGSs as it could offset other (social care) costs. Why is it TDC seem intent on destroying any efforts by local residents to retain, look after and improve their local area? Yet again, it appears TDC has utter contempt for the local population with only self-serving aims. TDC should work together with town councils to respect the will of the people they serve.</p> <p>If TDC officers had given planning permission for the Stonehill Park Development, there would not be the need to scrimp precious greenfield sites from around the Isle for housing.</p> <p>The aforementioned development was sensitively put together in collaboration with local residents along ecologically sustainable principles. I doubt whether RiverOak Strategic Partners would be as considerate when they abandon an airport in favour of development - which seems the most likely outcome.</p> <p>It is a perpetual fight against TDC officers for our hometown and amenities. The only logical and intelligent conclusion is that of corruption within the ranks of council officers. It is time for you all to start behaving like decent human beings and care for the place over which you have stewardship. It is not yours to do with as you please, it's ours.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				I object to the proposed modifications in the strongest possible terms.	
	Julia	Arnheim	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Hume Planning Consultancy	Nicola	Coles		<p>Further to the notification of public consultation on the Neighbourhood Plans, and in particular the Broadstairs &amp; St Peter's Neighbourhood Plan (BSPNP) proposed modifications, please find attached a representation letter sent on behalf of our client, Mr A Horn.</p> <p>In summary our client supports the recommendation of the LPA to remove the area of land within Reading Street proposed as LGS from the BSPNP on the basis that:</p> <p>1) there is no justification for the designation under paragraphs 99 or 100 of the NPPF and</p> <p>2) the designation would not be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the development plan (adopted and emerging).</p> <p>Should you have any queries or require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
Hume Planning Consultancy	Nicola	Coles	Yes		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Mr and Mrs	Wilford		<p>Good morning</p> <p>The deleted local green space at Fairfield road / Rumfields road ct10 2ph (tr38026751) within the Broadstairs and St Peters local plan which has been deleted needs to re-instated as a green space as originally proposed.</p> <p>This piece of open space land needs to be kept for safety reasons because the area of land gives clear vision for drivers entering the roundabout from Fairfield road , this is a busy junction with very fast moving traffic.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Mr and Mrs	Wilford	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
	Julian	Poupard		We would like to object to the proposal of removing this site from Local Green Spaces. This site is not opposite Kittys Green but approximately 100 yards away opposite 52 Reading Street and Elmwood close entrance in the narrow part of Reading Street. It is used as a stopping point for locals and visitors en route to Joss Bay. There are two dedicated benches, two large flower tubs, a post box, and a dog litter bin. It is hardly a green verge as there is a pavement in front of it. It is also used at Christmas for the local Christmas Tree. Please preserve this local space for the benefit of the local neighbourhood community.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Julian	Poupard	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
		Historic England		<p>Thank you for consulting Historic England on the proposed post-examination modifications to the Broadstairs and St Peter's Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>I am happy to confirm that we do not wish to comment on these modifications.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Ralph	Baker		Some of the proposed modifications I support - but not all	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Ralph	Baker	Yes		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Kent Police	CPDA	Team		<p>Thank you for the email of 19 September 2019 and opportunity to comment in regard to:  Location: Broadstairs and St. Peters  Proposal: Neighbourhood Plan (Proposed modifications)</p> <p>We appreciate the following detailed in your e mail:  “Two of the designations of Local Green Spaces proposed in the neighbourhood plan are considered to be contrary to national policy and policies in the emerging Thanet Local Plan. The Council is therefore proposing modifications to the neighbourhood plan that these two designations are deleted from the neighbourhood plan, and is carrying out a six week consultation on these modifications.”  “The Council has received the Examiner’s report and has considered the recommendations. The Council has also considered whether any modifications needed to be made to the Plan to meet the “basic conditions” for Neighbourhood Plans.”  I find no details of us being involved to date so have responded in order that you can include as much as</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>possible at this stage.</p> <p>We have based our comments to incorporate Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) and Secured by Design (SBD) to help design out the opportunity for Crime, Fear of Crime, Anti-Social Behavior (ASB), Nuisance and Conflict</p> <p>We recommend that applicants/agents applying for planning permission at outline stage or Full if there is not an outline application or Pre App consult us as local Designing out Crime Officers or other suitably qualified specialists to address CPTED when considering developments of more than 10 homes or any Commercial or Retail developments in Broadstairs and St Peters.</p> <p>In order to help shape developments, we might, on occasion, request that applicants/agents apply for a SBD award. Details can be found at <a href="http://www.securedbydesign.com">www.securedbydesign.com</a>. SBD is the UK Police flagship initiative combining three differing levels of security: Gold incorporates the security of the external environment together with the physical security specification of the home. Silver offers those involved in new developments, major refurbishment and the individual the opportunity to gain an award for the level of physical security provided. Bronze offers a route to achieve a reasonable level of physical security for bespoke or refurbished properties where a traditional enhanced security product is not available e.g. listed buildings. There are a number of other awards available including one for Commercial developments.</p> <p>Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED), the design and layout of a development affects the opportunity for crime so early involvement with us can help reduce its potential, e.g. :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Cars parked where they do not have natural surveillance from “active” ground floor windows can offer opportunity for car crime.</li> <li>2. Commercial buildings with an unsecured rear route, if not overlooked appropriately and benefitting from additional surveillance, can attract criminality.</li> <li>3. Corner and Apartment buildings where the ground</li> </ol>	

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>floor windows adjoin a publicly accessible area can attract desire lines and suffer loss of privacy.</p> <p>Therefore the addition of windows or resiting car parking areas, adequately sized and locked gates and defensive boundary treatments can reduce the opportunity and deflect potential offenders.</p> <p>These are a couple of examples from a long list.</p> <p>Open spaces, play areas (e.g. LEAPS) along with village greens and parks, might require protection from being misused in a way that will deter some users that it is designed to serve e.g. motorbikes being raced along areas where children should be playing. Trim Trails generally meander through the edge of development in areas with many trees and can lack natural surveillance. Trees and hedges are acceptable boundary treatments provided they are densely planted, of suitable depth and height and maintained. Trees in new developments should maximise natural surveillance.</p> <p>Some developments will benefit from a formal SBD application to help design out crime.</p> <p>CPTED measures can also reduce the likelihood of residents adding ad hoc security measures e.g. floodlighting, additional fencing between properties, and other defensive treatments to avoid persons looking into their windows or creating desire lines across their gardens. Appropriate designing out crime measures should easily also reduce the need for retro fitting, repairs and demand on ourselves and our partner agencies and help develop safe and cohesive communities.</p> <p>Broadstairs and St. Peters require different measures to respect their identity and type of development likely. Therefore CPTED measures need to meet the need and character of each to ensure Broadstairs and St. Peters are places where people will want to work, live and visit.</p> <p>For Broadstairs Town Centre, developments need to be designed to maximise security measures to include the night time economy, the existing built environment and older buildings with the added features on the coast, crowded spaces and to enhance the security for the high number of visitors.</p> <p>For St Peters village, new developments would benefit from designing out crime measures that respect its varied spaces, schools, green spaces and existing built environment.</p>	

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>When we receive planning applications for comment, we might request a Condition/Informative be included to address the points above. This will also show a clear audit trail for Design for Crime Prevention and Community Safety to meet both our and Local Authority statutory duties under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998.</p> <p>This information is provided by Kent Police DOCO's and focuses on CPTED and Community Safety with regard to Broadstairs and St. Peters.</p>	
Westgate-on-Sea Town Council	Gill	Gray		<p>The proposed Neighbourhood Plan that Broadstairs and St Peters Town Council submitted to an independent Examiner was proven to be sound and Westgate on Sea Town Council (WoSTC) Planning Committee fully supports that draft. The WoSTC Planning Committee views the retrospective proposed modifications by Thanet District Council as unfair and unsound and feels that TDC's actions undermine the Neighbourhood Planning process.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
Westgate-on-Sea Town Council	Gill	Gray	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Broadstairs & St.Peter's Town Council	Danielle	Dunn		<p>Broadstairs and St. Peter's Town Council objects to the removal of both Local Green Spaces from the Broadstairs &amp; St. Peter's Neighbourhood Plan. The two Local Green Spaces have been selected on the basis of sound evidence and an independent examiner has found their inclusion in the Neighbourhood Plan to be sound.</p> <p>Thanet District Council has failed to meet the requirements of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations and its stated timescales and legal advice has been obtained regarding this matter.</p> <p>Broadstairs &amp; St. Peter's objects to this additional consultation and requests that this consultation is cancelled and a referendum called immediately.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
Broadstairs & St.Peter's Town Council	Danielle	Dunn	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jennifer	Matterface		<p>My comments refer to the Reading Street grassed areas at the entrance to the former Club Union Convalescent Home.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>These areas have been an integral part of the village for decades.</p> <p>The informal Club Union Action Group gathered evidence of unrestricted use for over twenty years to ask for the two sites to be considered for village green status and that portfolio is currently with KCC but cannot proceed while there is a live planning application for the site behind.</p> <p>I am aware registration as LGS is a higher level of protection than village green status</p> <p>Residents have used the spaces for:  carol-singing under a Christmas tree  picnicked on the grass  played games  sat on the memorial benches resting after walking/  cycling/horse-riding  much-used by elderly residents as a resting spot when walking from Maurice House in Callis Court Road or from their homes in the area.  bird-watched  bat-watched at dusk at the pipistrelle bats flying over the site and Reading Street at dusk  used the post box recently installed to replace the one removed when the post office closed  tended the planters installed by Broadstairs and St. Peter's Town Council  used the dog waste bin that TDC maintains  residents use the green spaces to sit and chat as it is an open, easily accessible site with no restrictions.</p> <p>If refused there is concern the areas will be lost and become part of any planning application for the Club Union site. It isn't essential for that development since the owner has right of way on the maintained highway. It isn't in the curtilage of the site nor is it on the Land Registry documentation for the Club Union site.</p> <p>It isn't owned by the owner of the Club Union site, TDC or KCC.</p> <p>We need our green spaces protected and TDC should ensure this grassed area, not grass verges, are included as local green spaces as the Neighbourhood Plan states.</p>	

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				The evidence is there to demonstrate their importance to our community for many years.	
	Jennifer	Matterface	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Sarah	Kenrick		The green spaces of Broadstairs and St Peters are critical to mainting the character of and distinction between Thanet towns. The 2 green spaces at Fairfield Road and Reading Street must be maintained.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Sarah	Kenrick	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Michael	Roe		I am raising my objections to the use of the green spaces adjoining the Reading Street entrance to the proposed estate on the Club Union site.  These two pieces of land are regularly used by the community, as there are two benches and a post box on the land, there are two flower tubs which are maintained by T.D.C as is the cutting of the grass, the benches have plaques on, remembering the departed.  These small pieces of land are part of the history of Reading Street and as such in my view should remain Green space.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Michael	Roe	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
		Spurgin		The council quotes the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which sets the criteria for the designation of Local Green Spaces (para 100). 'The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the Green Space is: a) in reasonable close proximity to the community it serves, b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds particular significance for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquillity, or richness of wildlife...c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. The green space involves all of the above. This small parcel of land belongs to the community with two memorial benches where members of the community and visitors can stop and rest. The community feel strongly about this land, it belongs to them and is well used. It is made special with regular arrangements of flowers, is used during the carol singing at Christmas	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>and is home to the Royal Mail box. Reading Street village is a unique historic part of Thanet and it should be preserved for future generations to enjoy. This area of land is part of Thanet and is not owned by TDC to give away or be got rid of. The council should recognise the significance of this parcel of land and its importance to the residents and visitors as a place of rest and reflection, of a community coming together, something that local residents feel proud about.</p> <p>This piece of land is not a grass verge at the edge of the road. It is an important community space. I do not want TDC to make modifications to the plan and that this space should be allocated as a Local Green Space.</p>	
		Spurgin	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Clive	Cain		<p>my wife and I would like to object to the proposed change in the Broadstairs and St Peters Neighbourhood Plan re the local green space in Reading St.</p> <p>We believe this site should maintain its status as a local green space as it serves our local community; providing two benches where locals can sit and pass the time of day with one another and are dedicated to locals no longer with us, it also is the site for our only postbox (now that the post-office in Reading St has closed). It is a small but pleasant piece of green which enhances the character of our village, Reading Street.</p> <p>It would appear that TDC is deliberately trying to change this local green site because of its value to the development of the old convalescent home adjacent to it. This development continues to be objected to by locals of Reading St. TDC should not be partisan in aiding the plans of the developer. Reading St is simply a lovely, character filled village and all of us should be trying our best to maintain it, not spoil it.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Clive	Cain	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Brenda	Boniface		<p>The green space in Reading Street is maintained by KCC. There have been two applications for Village Green Status to be applied to this area. Both applications have been refused due to an impending planning application. It is difficult to understand why such refusals were made when the land is definitely not owned by the applicant. Why should this impact</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>the decision?</p> <p>However, it does not appear to be owned by either TDC or KCC. Because the Land Registry cannot specifically classify, ownership does not necessarily imply that it is not owned by someone.</p> <p>Local Green spaces are of paramount importance to our local environment.</p> <p>Paragraph 2.3 in the TDC January 2018 Report on Assessment of Local Green Space Proposals clearly states</p> <p>“.....The designation should only be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• where the green space is in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</li> <li>• where the green area is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and</li> <li>• where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.”</li> </ul> <p>The small area in Reading Street is of special value to the community – it benefits many; locals and visitors alike taking a rest on the memorial benches.</p> <p>Historically, it has been known to hold carol services around Christmas time.</p> <p>It is used for recreational exercise, particularly dog walkers. Hence, the establishment of a Dog Bin!</p> <p>In fine weather one can sit and take in the beauty of Reading Street Village. On summer evenings it can be used as a viewing point for the local bat activity taking place. It has been conformed that both pipistrel and the less common soprano pipistrel bats frequent the area - the area is, unquestionably, of great recreational value. It houses our local post box and two large planters maintained by KCC.</p> <p>Reading Street Village and conservation area are of supreme importance to Thanet – there is no other similar place and it’s unique identity must be maintained and remain an integral part of the Local Plan.</p>	
	Brenda	Boniface	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	James	Hamilton		<p>The Green Space on Reading Street DOES meet the criteria for designated LGS.</p> <p>It is extremely close to the community of Reading</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>Street Village.</p> <p>It sets the tone when you enter the village, without this it would simply be another place to park cars. It adds greatly to the beauty of Reading street village and helps the community and visitors to feel like they are entering an old village. I believe it is also within the conservation area of Reading street. So let's conserve!</p> <p>It is rich in wildlife as has very mature hedge rows. The walkers &amp; dog walkers stop on the memorial benches to rest and clear their dog poo into the bin provided. There is also a post box which is constantly used, especially since losing the Post Office. It is an integral part of the village and village life.</p> <p>The last thing this part of Thanet needs is further erosion of its green spaces. Especially as the Reading street inclusion has already passed the examiners inspection and been accepted.</p> <p>If this is lost it will simply become a car park or access way for cars to park. Destroying forever the village setting of Reading street. We are the custodians of this land just as those who came before us. We have to leave this special place intact for the future and future generations. Nobody wants to replace a historic village with a car park.</p> <p>I strongly urge you not amend the neighbourhood plan to remove the Reading Street LGS status. Everyone is against it locally. The community, the town council, the visitors we've spoken to.</p>	
	James	Hamilton	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Turner		<p>READING STREET GREEN SPACES NEED TO BE PRESERVED.</p> <p>They are part of our Historical village and part of the ethos of the Village. We have lived in the Village of Reading Street for over 50 years and have used this green space for all those years. The area enhances our Village with its attractive planters and the memorial seats. Our children and grandchildren have played on the green and we have sung Christmas Carols on the green. We now use the memorial seats to rest on during our walks, as we are of advancing years. We also use the post box regularly and the dog poo bin</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				when taking the dog for a walk. We also remember the deceased people mentioned on the memorial seats. We do not want this area to be lost to the Village and swallowed up as part of a development. There is evidence to support Village Green Status. PLEASE SAVE OUR GREEN SPACES - Chris & Chrissie Turner.	
	Christine	Turner	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Robert	Jaffa		<p>The council quotes the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which sets the criteria for the designation of Local Green Spaces (para 100). 'The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the Green Space is: a) in reasonable close proximity to the community it serves, b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds particular significance for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquility, or richness of wildlife...c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.</p> <p>In my opinion all of these characteristics are encapsulated in this small tract of land in the Conservation Area of Reading Street. This small parcel of land is a community asset, with two memorial benches where members of the community and visitors can stop and rest-a-while in a tranquil setting. Over many, many years it has been utilised by those traversing the main route through the historic village of Reading Street and for community activities such as carol singing in the run up to Christmas. It has been part of people's lives, well remembered by those elderly folk who were born and brought up in Reading Street. The community feel so strongly about this small parcel of land that they put together a case for village green status which they presented to Kent County Council. The council said they were unable to consider it because of a pending planning application (currently with TDC) to build 24 houses on land behind this site. This small parcel of tranquility - with the benches, flowers in pots, a Royal Mail post box - has been recognised by the town council as being special, but the district council appear to want to destroy it. Is it a coincidence that the land in question does not appear to be owned by anyone and yet TDC and Kent County Council seem happy to give it to the developer to enlarge the entrance to his land in order to develop it? Frankly, in my view, this is an</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				abomination. Reading Street village is a unique historic gem in Thanet and it should be preserved for future generations to enjoy. The council should recognise the significance of this parcel of land and its importance to the residents and visitors as a place of rest and reflection, of a community coming together. It is wrong for TDC to say: 'It is simply part of the grass verge, adjacent to the highway.' It is much more than that, It is a symbol of and part of the heart of the community and should be preserved not destroyed or given away.	
	Robert	Jaffa	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jan	Cannon		As someone born in Broadstairs, I have spent my life walking along Reading Street. I've sat on the seat after walking the dog in order to pass the time with other residents, tourists and dog walkers. I've encouraged our guests to sit and admire the Conservation Area when they visit Joss Bay beach. These spaces are important to our future, I do not view them as 'grass verges'. Although small, they provide an amenity for everyone to enjoy and provide a natural habitat for wild flowers and insects. We need to protect and preserve these scarce open green spaces.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jan	Cannon	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Michael	Head		The green space is important to the local community, it has 2 memorial benches used by the local community, walkers and dog walkers. It also has memorial gardens, a postbox (The local Post Office is now shut down) and a dog poo bin, All assets to the community. This space is incorrectly included in an ongoing planning application for the Club Union site and if not projected could be lost forever.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Michael	Head	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Personal	John David	Bell		I refer to your letter of the 19th September 2019, inviting comments on the above mentioned proposal.  The Thanet District Council has proposed to delete the Local Green Space in Reading Street from the Neighbourhood Plan, contrary to and in defiance of the advice contained in the Independent Report of the Examiner, issued on 14th June 2019, and overruling the Broadstairs & St Peter's Town Council, which had	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>approved and adopted that Report.</p> <p>Legal advice obtained by the Town Council is that the conclusion by TDC that "designations of Local Green Spaces proposed in the Neighbourhood Plan are considered to be contrary to national policy and policies in the emerging Thanet Local Plan" is a flawed interpretation of those policies.</p> <p>The Local Green Space in Reading Street serves as a green oasis containing a memorial seat and a Royal Mail letter box. As a resident who frequently walks into and out of the Village over the narrow and uneven footpaths at the side of the road, I and many other pedestrians use this "Green" and the seat as a welcome, peaceful resting place surrounded by trees, before continuing the challenging walk along the narrow footpaths. It is a valuable amenity and I have no doubt that local residents would agree, if asked, that it should be preserved as such.</p> <p>The local residents should be consulted before this valuable Local Green Space is arbitrarily swept away, particularly in the light of the conclusions of the Independent Examiner in the Report, issued as recently as 14th June 2019, to which TDC has given insufficient weight. Unless the TDC is willing to withdraw and reverse its decision to delete this Local Green Space from the Broadstairs &amp; St Peter's Neighbourhood Plan, I request that TDC refer that decision to a Referendum on that Plan. This "Green Space" is an ancient part of our local heritage and worthy of respect.</p>	
Personal	John David	Bell	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
N/a	David	Collyer		If the modifications are approved, it will mean we lose our post box once again. Also a lot of people use the benches, to rest and have a chat. This green has been used for many years, and would be a shame if it lost.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
N/a	David	Collyer	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Gillian	Goatham		With particular reference to the "designated Local Green Spaces" situated in Reading Street opposite Elmwood Close and abutting the land formerly known as Club Union and currently subject to another Planning Application!! We have lived in Elmwood	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>Close since 1987 and have used and enjoyed the "green space" ....sitting on the memorial benches, using the Dog Bin, enjoying the variety of wildlife and more lately the Post Box. The memorial benches are used by villages, local people, dog walkers, visitors on their way to Joss Bay who sit and rest, enjoy the peace and quiet and take in and appreciate the "village" atmosphere. Often there is a small gathering of people just enjoying the space and chatting and sharing their knowledge of the local area and all it has to offer. Many a time the old and young can be seen sitting together enjoying a rest or just taking in the view of the lovely properties nearby.</p> <p>This wonderful area of Reading Street, which epitomizes quintessential village life, and all it holds dear to us residents is slowly being eroded by the development of land, substantial increase in through traffic, who regularly speed along the road, and it is very disappointing that the District Council appears not to share our views and shows an unwillingness to preserve and retain those pockets of "green spaces" for the use and enjoyment of residents, local people and visitors alike.</p> <p>Often these "green spaces" have historical significance as well as valuable wildlife habitats and an area providing the right sort of space for those who suffer mental health issues or who are experiencing a stressful time.</p> <p>While I was recovering from my Breast Cancer I often sat on the benches after a walk to enjoy all that was around me and rest my body and mind.</p> <p>PLEASE EMBRACE THIS VALUABLE "GREEN SPACE" AND LISTEN TO THE WISHES OF THE PEOPLE TO ENSURE THIS SPACE IS RETAINED FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS TO ENJOY WITH THEIR FAMILIES.</p>	
	Gillian	Goatham	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jennifer	Kember		<p>This is a well used local green space, regularly visited by a vast range of local people and visitors. The benches are frequently used by old and young alike, and I understand that older people in the community remember using them for years. The post box is essential, since we lost our local post office and as a dog owner I regularly use the dog poo bin.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				My grandchildren often play on the grass on the way to and from the beach and I have seen many of the residents of Maurice House sitting on the benches in fine weather. We need more local green space not less!	
	Jennifer	Kember	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	James	Galloway		The bench and post box are in regular use and add to the charm of Reading Street. We're already starved of green space in Thanet and whilst small it helps to add character to the road. Elmwood Close is opposite and as an unadopted Road with poor visibility the green prevents another access road or similar adding to an existing issue. Only reason to go back on original decision to keep this space is to bizarrely try to support an unsympathetic, over developed planning application on the club union site that has been rejected on two occasions.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	James	Galloway	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Sue	Denim		I wish to comment on the fact that the Council wants to remove the green space on Reading Street (CT10 3AZ). (Appendix 2 – Addresses and grid references of Local Green Spaces) This area has trees, grass, a bench, a planted flower container, a poo bin and a post box. It is used by local residents as a meeting place and it is an important part of village life. I have personally met people who have lived here long enough to have gone to the local village school and remember this bit of land with a Christmas tree on it in the festive season. I have also witnessed locals and visiting tourists using the bench as a rest point (particularly during this year's summer heatwave.) I take my little dog to this area frequently and inevitably end up chatting with one neighbour or another. It is an important part of village life and I do not want to lose it.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Sue	Denim	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
	Jane	Sturges		The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets the criteria for the designation of Local Green Spaces as follows: 'The Local Green Space designation should be used where the Green Space is: a) in reasonable close proximity to the community it serves, b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds particular significance for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value, tranquillity, or richness of wildlife, and c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land. The piece of land in question in Reading Street fulfils all of these criteria. It is a community asset, with two memorial benches where locals and visitors can rest in a peaceful setting half way up Reading Street's historic main street. It has large, well-maintained flower containers and a post box. Over the years, it has been part of local people's lives, used for community activities such as carol singing. The community values this small piece of land so much that they applied to Kent County Council to obtain village green status for it. Unfortunately, KCC were unable to consider this application because of a pending planning application relating to the land behind Reading Street. Broadstairs & St Peters Town Council agree that this is special asset for the village and have designated it as a Local Green Space. TDC, on the other hand, are determined to destroy this local amenity. They belittle it as 'part of the grass verge adjacent to the highway' and seem determined to give it away to the developer of the land behind Reading Street, so that he can enlarge the entrance to his proposed development. This is totally unacceptable. It goes against the interests and wishes of the Reading Street community and Broadstairs & St Peters Town Council. Reading Street village is a unique historic part of Thanet that needs be preserved, not destroyed. TDC needs to recognise the significance of this piece of land to the village, its residents and its visitors. Giving it away to a developer is giving away part of the village that can never be recovered.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jane	Sturges	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	John	Williams		As is the case with the majority of older residents in Reading Street we use this area for a rest on the bench when taking a walk. We also use the postbox as no other alternative near enough since post office/village shop closed. It is a safe haven when traffic is heavy	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				and speeding as no pavement on the other side of the road. As horses use the road on a regular basis there is not always much room for pedestrians to get by and cars still break the 20mph speed limit which is frightening and dangerous when trying to cross the road so this area is a necessity.	
	John	Williams	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	David	Box		Taking away any area of green space in a community/village, leave it open to being grabbed by unscrupulous money driven developers, to incorporate in to a development, with no thought of the pleasure and active part that it plays in the community it's in. This is very much so with the regards to the green space in Reading Street. Don't let yourselves be the Council that ruins the beauty and active part that the green area, has and still is playing in Reading Street, for the current generations and those to come in the future.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	David	Box	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Martin	Long		The Reading Street site should be designated as a LGS, in my opinion, as it is not only in close proximity to the community it serves, but forms part of the tranquility of the village complimented by the presence of Kitty's Green close by. Although not a large site, the area has space enough for a park bench, flower display, and a much appreciated post box, and in the past has sported a Christmas tree and carol singers.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Martin	Long	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Paul	Flowers		We do not support the modification to the Broadstairs & St Peter's neighbourhood plan regarding Reading Street. This piece of land plays a part in the overall feel of Reading Street village and has done so for many years providing a green area for seating and now a post box. It would be detrimental to the village to lose this space therefore we feel it should be protected by making it a local green space.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Paul	Flowers	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
Wood Plc on behalf of National Grid	Lucy	Bartley		Letter attached	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Lyndon	Lomax		<p>RE: Reading Street - The small corner grassed area opposite Kitty's Green, with two raised flower beds and two benches.</p> <p>NOTE: This area also includes a Postal Letter Box.</p> <p>As a family with young children who within the coming month is due to complete a house purchase on Reading Street, we all have been greatly attracted to the peacefulness, village look and feel and the overall Kentish character of this historic street. The area mentioned includes a lovely place to sit and relax before/after the feeding of the animals at the end of Reading Street (within the fields running up to Callis Court Road). This small grassed, resting area may only be a small piece of land in area, but would be a huge loss to the whole of Reading Street; both for those that live there and to those who visit it for its unique quaint attractiveness.</p> <p>Whatever gain there is believed to be made from the loss of this area appears to be minute and would go against all common sense for maintaining, caring and promoting the historic appearance of Reading Street.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Lyndon	Lomax	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
		Smith		We cannot afford to be getting rid of any more urban green space. In fact, more green space should be introduced along busy roads, not less. The benefits to both pollution reduction and wildlife of these little oases of green is invaluable.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
		Smith	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Belinda	Bull		This area of green on Reading Street is used by myself daily when I walk my dog, I sit and relax on the memorial bench and look at the lovely flower boxes. I use the letter box to send my post since the post office was changed to a residential house. I also use the dog toilet bin to dispose of my dogs litter when returning from our walk. I can not see how this is not included in	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				the green space protection and it keeps a nice area of the village. Please do not remove this.	
	Belinda	Bull	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Elaine	Kelly		I don't want the green space in reading street done away with to turn it into a road to enable a totally overwhelming housing estate	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Elaine	Kelly	Yes		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Victoria	Bush		The green area in Reading Street is integral to the village, contains a memorial bench and is used on a regular basis by the community. I can see no reason why it needs to be removed from the plan!	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Victoria	Bush	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Mr	SIMON	Jones		I object to the plan in relation to Reading Street. The application in relation to the development of the Club Union site is still very flawed. In addition, it is worse than ever now in that a Green Space near the proposed entrance is seemingly considered to be eradicated. This Green Space is of immense value to the people of Reading Street and is in constant use and has been for a very long time. Among other things, it has a post box, 2 carefully maintained planters and also a grassed area. Simply to take this from the the people of Reading Street would be a travesty and a morally wrong thing to do. To build on land with the permission of a land owner is one thing, but to take away valued unregistered land to 'assist' this is quite another thing. The proposed entrance to the Club Union Site is fundamentally unsuitable at first instance and simply taking away and using the proximate valuable Green Space of Reading Street will not correct this fact. The people of Reading Street are fully cognizant of the fact that properties will be altered and new ones built as time goes on but to take away a valued shared part of their village will cause deep bad feeling in the local area.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
Mr	SIMON	Jones	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Ian	Carter-Chapman		I fully support Broadstairs & St Peter's Town Council's view that the green space in Reading Street should be preserved - any development on this area	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				will have a significant adverse impact on the unique character of the area.	
	Ian	Carter-Chapman	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Mrs.	Monica	Boeckx		Reading Street Community space provides a seat, a post box, a green space in which to have a break. It adds to the village spirit of Reading street where people can sit and have a chat. Development would add nothing favourable to the area.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
Mrs.	Monica	Boeckx	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Tongue		We need trees and green spaces for local people We have very little open green public space per person in Thanet - less than London. Green space helps to combat climate change and keeps children more healthy by giving them outdoor space to run around . This combats childhood obesity.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Tongue	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Karen	McKenzie		The proposed tracts of land in the amendment were classed as Green Space in Broadstairs Neighbourhood Plan, which has been through all the democratic steps required to get agreement. It is an anti-democratic step to start this additional consultation with amendments and this approach has been called in to the Secretary of State. The inclusion of these two very small pieces of land is an attempt to grasp at some of the last pieces of open land. 1) Rumfields Road corner, if this is built on will contribute to poorer visibility for traffic in what is already a very busy and dangerous roundabout. Allowing it to become a 'messy corner' through neglect is not a reason to then grab it for sale to a 'developer' who will put up a very small house. This land was agreed in the Neighbourhood plan as GreenSpace and TDC must not be allowed to override the wishes of the residents. 2) The land in Reading Street contributes to the village feel of the area and should be kept as such. Because it fronts on the Club Union development site we can only assume that this is an attempt to grab land that would eventually become part of the development with the contrivance of the householders behind the land,	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				one of which has already attempted another building application. This land was agreed in the Neighbourhood plan as GreenSpace and TDC must not be allowed to override the wishes of the residents.	
	Karen	McKenzie	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Mrs.	jane	lee-hopkinson		<p>The Reading Street site is not a grass verge it is an open space used by local people as a green space for as long as local memories stretch. It has memorial benches on it, planting and a postbox. It has not the proportions, scale, nature or function of a "verge". It is located in one of the most densely populated parts of Broadstairs (see your own map) with narrow streets and closely packed homes. It is needed by the residents, particularly by the older residents I think. I have always appreciated it myself as a meeting place and a place of air and light in a street made tight by parking and traffic. The designation of this site should return to that originally given to it by the Town Council who made the neighbourhood plan. One wonders, considering the years in which local people have been making their views known to the council, why this valuable green site is not recognised by the Council officers.</p> <p>The Fairfield Road/Rumsfield Road reference in the consultation document is just incompetent. It does not belong to the highways and it is a space the Council must keep green in an already overbusy area. Twice a day when the four schools shoulder to shoulder in that area take in or release their thousands of children, that area becomes dangerous. The green space is one of the few things that relieves the crowds of often inattentive pedestrian traffic. I am very aware of this as I live around the corner opposite Dane Court Grammar School. However the plan protects this site it should be done as it is protecting children. The best way would be simply to accept the definition wisely made in the Town's neighbourhood plan.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
Mrs.	jane	lee-hopkinson	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Neuro Physio Thanet	Helen	Riris		I run an independent organisation 'Neuro Physio Thanet' providing Rehabilitation to people with brain injury locally. I am also a resident of Reading Street (Conservation) area. I agree with the Broadstairs and St Peters Town Council to commit this piece of land to	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>be included as local green space and respect the examiners inspection.</p> <p>As an organisation, the green spaces on Reading Street are a vital respite in rehabilitation sessions, notably the Memorial Bench on the land outside the Club Union site, where the local green space allows rest in between rehab sessions for those who need it. Very often, when resting here, residents get a chance to interact with other residents who they know as they may be posting letters, walking their dog and using the poo bin, eating lunch or just walking by. This local green space fosters a sense of community and an area of safety. It allows people to enjoy the vista of this unique road in Thanet which should rightly be allowed this freedom of use by its residents who use it daily above all other considerations where community is not at the heart of decision making.</p> <p>As a resident, I use the green on the way home from the school run with my child, who is Asthmatic so sits here to take her pump. As you may be aware, there is a discontinuous path along this stretch of road, which is why it is of significance for it to remain as an untouched area of use by the local residents. This piece of green space houses a Memorial bench of significance to the memory of those who leave it and their families. This is a sentimental and emotional issue here -please consider it before destroying it and its natural surroundings to create more congestion, parking, pollution—especially in a time of a Climate Emergency, already declared by Thanet District Council themselves in July 2019. Reading Street Open Gardens was an amazing success this year and this land was so useful also for selling refreshments and resting in between garden visits. It attracted people to the area and will continue to do so for the next event.</p> <p>Anything being considered for development now and in the future must work around this historic setting not against it and certainly without its loss. TDC must avoid at all costs, the loss of an area of local historical significance and preserve the local green spaces as a matter of priority within a Conservation Area. These are old roads, unique within their setting, used by the local community daily, especially in light of the loss of the local Post Office and its associated post box.</p>	

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				Thanet District Council -please listen to the local residents who live here, preserve areas of Conservation and respect them for their non monetary benefits but their benefits to the social and environmental contributions to the local community who deserve to have their voices heard.	
Neuro Physio Thanet	Helen	Riris	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
The British Art Journal	Martin	Hopkinson		<p>1. Reading Street site I have known this site since the 1950s from regular visits when on holiday with family relatives in Callis Court Road, Lanthorne Road and Bairds Hill This has been a very valuable green space much used by residents of Reading Street used both by children and the elderly in particular. I can remember seeing how much use it has had over these years. It is in no way a grass verge, having memorial benches, planting and a post box. It is in one of the most important small areas of Broadstairs with historic and close packed buildings, narrow roads ,which already has too much modern encroachments around its edges causing traffic problems for the residents both on the road and on the pavements. The space is much needed by residents, particularly the elderly and those with young children. One wonders whether no resident councillors and council officers have not understood the very good reasons why objections have been laid on previous occasions to modifications</p> <p>2. Fairfield Road/ Rumsfield Road This is immediately opposite a school at a much used roundabout, which our family regularly uses. The space does not belong to the highways. Any development here would be children making their way to and from the schools on Broadstairs Road as well as the one on the corner. Any accidents that happened as a result of a development at this spot could lead to expensive cases for the TDC that resulted from the proposed modification of the plan</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
The British Art Journal	Martin	Hopkinson	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Anne-Marie	Roe		This open space in Reading Street is part of the community and used by residents and passers by and has been for many years. This is a historic area and this space is used daily by walker, the elderly, and many who pass through this village. It is also rich in	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				wildlife, with many varieties of birds, squirrels and bats and is very precious.	
	Anne-Marie	Roe	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Collins		<p>I believe that the green space in Reading Street should be protected as a local green space because:</p> <p>1) It has been an integral part of the village for many years</p> <p>2) It is used as a resting place for walkers, cyclists and anyone who just wants to sit for a while and enjoy the peace and quiet. It is also a good resting place on the way back from a day on the beach at Joss Bay.</p> <p>3) It has a couple of memorial benches, well maintained planters and a well used post box.</p> <p>Why would we NOT want to keep this little oasis protected?</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christine	Collins	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	PATRICIA	BELLAMY		<p>I do not support the proposed modifications to the Neighbourhood plans.</p> <p>Reading Street has it's own lovely character and is a much admired area by visitors and residents alike. We love living in this neighbourhood and regret the different changes to the street ie: the loss of our post office, corner shop and post box which have already harmed the</p> <p>the village and it's residents. Now we are faced with losing the green space leading to the old Club Union site If this goes ahead then we will have lost another "village" characteristic which makes Reading Street so beautiful. No No No!</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	PATRICIA	BELLAMY	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Sylvie	Richardson		<p>Object strongly to the removal of the green space on Reading St CT10 3AZ TR39026949 at the entrance to the Club Union site. This is a not a small area but one that is used by many people resting on the 2 memorial benches, going to the post box or using the dog litter box and is a vital community area where people stop and talk and it contributes greatly to the visual appeal of the Conservation Area. It also provides a habitat for wildlife and forms part of a wildlife corridor. In light of the environmental crisis all green spaces need to be protected and not put at risk from development. I have</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				used these benches to rest for over 30 yrs walking home from work or the beach and see many people using them. The Town Council and the Independent Examiner both want this space to be preserved and kept in the local neighbourhood plan. Has there been some misdirection in advice given or maladministration or is it an oversight? Whatever the reason, it reflects very badly on the council that vital green spaces are being put at risk, especially as the local community will fight all the way to preserve this space and this will result in a lot of bad publicity and even extra costs for the T.D.C. The council should refrain from any action that puts green spaces at risk and urgently reconsider this space.	
	Sylvie	Richardson	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Katie	Westlund		The area is too crowded and the roads can't cope with the traffic as it is let alone an increase in traffic. It's a beautiful spot. And nice to see some green in Thanet which is sadly extremely Rare thanks to everyone wanting to fill each other's pockets.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Katie	Westlund	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
none	Margaret	Symonds		<p>THE SITE AT READING STREET CT10 3AZ tr39026949 should not be included in the Local Plan because it is a clearly defined, well-kept and attractive site in the heart of Reading Street village and as such meets the criteria for a Local Green Space. It benefits from such amenities as two memorial benches, a postbox, a dog waste bin and two tubs of flowering plants, of great use to the elderly and disabled in providing a resting place along Reading St. In itself, it would be of no use to a developer as it is too small, but looking behind the wall at the rear of the site, it is easy to see that it would be of interest to a developer if the wall were demolished and the site were added to land at the rear, which would make it feasible to build dwelling(s) fronting onto Reading Street.</p> <p>Given the controversial Club Union planning application for the rest of the site to the rear, I consider that an additional building or buildings on the potential larger site would constitute overdevelopment and the developer should not be assisted by TDC to consider applying for planning permission to build on any part of this Local Green Space. There is very little open space in Reading Street for use by villagers, and</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>prevent the loss of this valuable Local Green Space it should keep its present status by remaining off the Local Plan.</p> <p>THE SITE AT FAIRFIELD/RUMFIELDS ROAD CT10 PH is different because of itself it cannot so clearly be defined as a Local Green Space, as it is fairly overgrown, particularly at the back and has no amenities, apart from the clearly marked footpath on the map of the site on the TDC website. This footpath has clearly been in use for some considerable time because growth of vegetation has been inhibited by continual footfall. If this has had public use for 20 years, it could be deemed a 'right of way'. The school on the opposite corner of this site provides a large amount of pedestrian traffic at school starting and finishing times and it is very likely that numbers of schoolchildren, families and carers need to spill over onto the Green Space while entering or exiting the crossing of Rumsfield Road at this point.</p> <p>The footpath could also be considered an amenity for pedestrians who wish to avoid the very narrow tarmac footpath running around the left turn into Fairfield Road by vehicles exiting Rumfields Road, emitting noxious fumes as they wait and turn. By taking the short cut, pedestrians can avoid coming into close contact with these fumes, which are particularly dangerous for young children in pushchairs as these are low enough to receive the full impact of fumes.</p> <p>Additionally, this site is also completely unsuitable for use as a building plot, given its situation on the corner of a roundabout: the site is too small, would constitute overdevelopment, and access onto or from either of the roads at this corner would be extremely dangerous.</p> <p>Wildlife and plant life have also taken advantage of this undeveloped site, and should be allowed to continue to do so in order to prevent the loss of biodiversity. This is again a site which should be kept as a Local Green Space and not put into the Local Plan for development.</p> <p>Please give urgent consideration to my comments and do not allow this change in use.</p>	
none	Margaret	Symonds	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Roger	Richardson		I do not support the Councils modifications to the Broadstairs & St Peters Neighbourhood Plan. The REading Street LGs is not a grass verge as suggested as there is a footpath between it and the road. IT is a	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				small area which is used on a daily basis by people resting on the benches, going to the post box and making use of the litter box for their dog waste. My wife has used this often when walking home from work. It is also a wildlife habitat and needs to be protected from development, especially as our environmental crisis means that we need to be more aware of such spaces and preserving them. The Independent Examiner is happy for this space to be preserved so it seems strange that TDC wishes to remove it from the plan. Hopefully, this is a genuine mistake on someone's part. Local people are very much against the LGS being omitted as evidenced by a well-attended meeting a few days ago.	
	Roger	Richardson	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
St Andrews Womens Group Church Hall	Janet	Porter		We the St Andrews Womens Group Reading Street love our green areas, we enjoy the flowers, benches to rest, the use of the dog poo bins and post box and strongly oppose any plans to alter the use of:  (Undersigned by 11 signatories)	<a href="#">View Response</a>
St Andrews Womens Group Church Hall	Janet	Porter	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Joanne	Bright	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Christopher	Stockwell		Thanet District Councils submission that the Reading Street local green space does not conform as it is a verge is wrong. A verge is defined as an area of grass abutting a roadway. This site has a clearly defined surfaced footpath between the kerb and the grass area and therefore cannot be classed as a verge.  The Reading Street local green space does conform to the NPPF as it is:- a) in close proximity to the community it serves (it is in the heart of the village) b) It is demonstrably special to the local community as it has public seating dedicated to former local residents. It has the only royal mail post box within the confines of the village. The seating is well used by villagers and visitors alike as a place to sit quietly and rest. c) It is local in character and is not an extensive space	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				(the seating being dedicated to local people and has floral planting in keeping with the village character)	
	Christopher	Stockwell	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jill	Millward		<p>The areas of land may be small but are hugely important as green space and must be protected for the village.</p> <p>The memorial benches are used by people of all ages and the planters and grass areas enhance the environment and sustain wildlife - an important consideration these days.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jill	Millward	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jennifer	Hammond		<p>I am finding it tiring to walk without resting frequently. Having a seat at either end of the village is essential for me to enable me to participate in a village community. I take a stroll almost every day and am able to meet others. I have been a widow for some time and would be lonely being restricted to my home.</p> <p>Please leave us a little green area its not much to ask is it? In a village of such friendliness. Please do not leave the valuable elders in isolation.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jennifer	Hammond	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Peter	Such		<p>We, the undersigned, hereby request that the version of the Broadstairs and St Peters Neighbourhood Plan, as agreed by the Independent Examiner, should stand unamended.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Broadstairs and St Peters Town Councils request that a local referendum be held should be honoured as soon as possible.</p> <p>(25 signatories)</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Peter	Such	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Carol	Say		Reading Street is already very congested with traffic. Extra cars will have to find car parking spaces probably in Reading Street which will make it even worse. It is a village. Don't spoil it's charm.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Carol	Say	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
	Jenny	Jackson		Love area no change please	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Jenny	Jackson	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Emma	James		I feel this proposal would be detrimental to the village, people use this area to rest upon the bench. It would be a real shame to lose this area.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Emma	James	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	SM and D	Nightingale		Leave a beautiful village alone. Go and find somewhere else.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	SM and D	Nightingale	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Rosemary	McGlynn		This is part of the village - always been there. Why spoil the look of an English village.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Rosemary	McGlynn	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	J	Kear		A small community, the traffic horrendous for the villagers	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	J	Kear	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Yvonne	Bance		We love our area as it is leave alone	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Yvonne	Bance	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Martin	Porter		I am against the proposal as it will greatly effect the village feel. The verge area is a natural resting space and will be ruined by the proposed works.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Martin	Porter	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Mark	Burrells		I wish to comment on the fact that the Council wants to remove the green space on Reading Street (CT10 3AZ). (Appendix 2 – Addresses and grid references of Local Green Spaces) This area has shrubs, grass, a dedicated bench, planted flower containers, a dog waste bin and a recently erected post box. It is in constant use by the local residents due to its facilities. It is used by walkers in the area as a place of rest and a meeting	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>place. It is an important part of our village life. I have met people who have lived here long enough to have remembered this bit of land with a Christmas tree on it during the festive season.</p> <p>I have a little dog, and this is one of the areas I use for him to sniff around we inevitably end up chatting with one neighbour or another. As I wrote earlier this small piece of land in an important and integral part of village life and I for one do not want to lose it.</p>	
	Mark	Burrells	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
Environment Agency		.		<p>Thank you for consulting us on the Broadstairs and St Peters Neighbourhood Plan modification and the Monkton Neighbourhood Area Plan.</p> <p>New guidance 'How to consider the environment in Neighbourhood Plans' has been published by Locality. It was produced by the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England. It sets out how to consider the natural and historic environment when making a neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>Please find our comments below.</p> <p>We have no issues with the modification of the Broadstairs and St Peters Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Graham	Cosby		<p>I wish to make strong comment with regard to this issue.</p> <p>The Neighbourhood Plan SHOULD REMAIN as approved by a professional Independent Examiner and MUST be put to a local referendum, in its present format and without change!</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Graham	Cosby	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
The Broadstairs Society	Michael	Flagg		<p>After four years in consultation together with the advice of an independent adviser there is no material reason why the Broadstairs and St Peters Town Council should not continue with this plan which has been proposed and supported. There is no plausible case in the interests of local democracy, for further consultation.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
The Broadstairs Society	Michael	Flagg	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
John Harvey Design Consultancy	John	Harvey		I strongly feel the Neighbourhood Plan should remain as approved by the Independent Examiner and should be put to a local referendum as is.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
John Harvey Design Consultancy	John	Harvey	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Caroline	Tompsett		I am writing in respect of the above Consultation.  I believe that the Neighbourhood Plan should remain as approved by the Independent Examiner and should be put to a local referendum as is.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Caroline	Tompsett	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Duncan	Foster		These are long standing open spaces and can easily be designated as green spaces under existing legislation. In fact, the town council agrees that they should be. There is no justification for them not being so designated.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Duncan	Foster	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Michelle	Chapman		Our green spaces are of vital importance, not only to residents but also wildlife. In a time where our wildlife is struggling, Turtle Doves for instance are down by 98%, Hedgehog numbers down by half, additionally 15 per cent of species - nearly 1,200 - are threatened with extinction, you propose to take yet more of their habitat away. This is frankly shocking and shows yet again the complete incompetence and selfishness of TDC.  We should be creating more green spaces, re-wilding, etc. Studies also show a link between lack of green spaces and poor mental health.  There is no excuse for lack of knowledge on this subject as National Trust and Wildlife Trusts have done and published various studies on this subject, in fact it's all over the internet and social media.  <a href="https://www.countrylife.co.uk/news/state-nature-britains-biodiversity-threat-can-yet-saved-205064">https://www.countrylife.co.uk/news/state-nature-britains-biodiversity-threat-can-yet-saved-205064</a>  <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/amp/s/www.newscientist.com/article/2218235-thousands-of-">https://www.google.co.uk/amp/s/www.newscientist.com/article/2218235-thousands-of-</a>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
				<p>uk-wildlife-and-plant-species-are-in-decline/amp/</p> <p>Please do what's right and save our green spaces, your children and your grandchildren will thank you for it.</p>	
	Michelle	Chapman	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Pamela	Wood		<p>There are precious few green spaces along Thanet's busy roads and these modifications would get rid of 2 of these I am particularly concerned about the one in Reading Street, which has existed as long as I can remember. I have lived in Reading Street for over 20 years and my parents lived nearby between the 1960s and 1980s. This space contains many assets important to the neighbourhood - the tubs of flowers, the 2 benches (useful for people walking down to Joss Bay) the dog poo container (essential because of the many dog owners nearby) and, most essential of all, the post box (placed there a year after our Post Office closed). We have very few pavements in Reading Street, those that exist are mainly very narrow and there is nowhere else where a post box could easily be sited.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Pamela	Wood	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Monica	Boeckx		<p>1) To describe this area as a verge is totally misleading and designed to suggest its insignificance</p> <p>2) It fits in well with surrounding area especially giving space to the 'dutch' cottages set back and low down</p> <p>3) A natural area to rest and take in the beauty of immediate surroundings. Good for bird song, to rest on a 'round the block' walk or after posting a letter and now that our local shop is currently closed (This used to be a gathering point for the local community). Now it seems you are trying to close down another 'community' spot</p> <p>4) It is part of the landscape of a very special historical road which is a complete unit as it now stands and by trying to modernise it you would spoil its character</p> <p>5) It appears to have no regard for protecting our GREEN spaces. It could make a wonderful contribution to a wild flower area. Make a positive use of this space for our environment.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Monica	Boeckx	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
		Hail		The area of Reading Street is used frequently by many people in the community. Often we walk through the village and as elderly people sit on the memorial bench, rest and move on. We have our post box on this green also, neighbours who are less mobile can walk here and sit as they post letters then walk back home. This is a small green open space which is very important to this community. In these times when loneliness and depression are reife in society, we must hold onto green spaces where it benefits peoples mental health to pass the time meeting and talking to their community and neighbours.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
		Hail	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Michael	Matthews		<p>TTDC have not followed the correct procedure on the modification of the plan and it should have been put out to a public referendum in July. Broadstairs Town Council correctly formulated the plan, TDC have not followed the procedures correctly, perhaps to facilitate a nearby planning application.</p> <p>With respect to the land in Reading Street this land falls fairly and squarely in the category of local Green space.</p> <p>It is local, it is within the Reading Street conservation area.</p> <p>Enough evidence was collected that shows that this site is special to the local residents. For example residents resting on the benches, exercising dogs and one resident produced evidence of a carol service on this site. It is demonstrably a local amenity with benches flower planters and such items as a post box and dog waste bin.</p> <p>Thirdly it is not large.</p> <p>It is not verge as it is bounded by pavement, ownership is unknown.</p> <p>It is precisely the sort of amenity that adds to the diversity and interest of a conservation area and it is unexplained the apparent strong desire by TDC officers that leads them to misapply the rules to try to have it removed from the plan.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
	Michael	Matthews	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	David	Say		We need to keep our "grassy" areas for this and future generations. Once they're gone they are gone forever.	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	David	Say	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Danielle	Potton		<p>TDC have not followed the correct procedure to modify this correctly presented and formulated plan. The Town Council want this green space included in the plan and TDC have used very dubious and non standard tactics to remove these open spaces from the plan. It should have been put out to a local referendum but TDC have not done this.</p> <p>I understand the criterion for registration is that it should be close to the area it serves. The Reading Street Local Green Space (LGS) is in the Reading Street Conservation area.</p> <p>It also should be local in character and not extensive, this site meets this requirement entirely.</p> <p>The third criterion is that it should be special to the local community. The LGS in Reading street has been the subject of debate in Reading Street and enough evidence was collected to register this land as a "village green". One resident produced evidence of a carol service on this green and many others gave evidence on other uses such as sitting on the benches exercising dogs and so on. I live opposite this site and I can vouch for the regular daily use of this green by local residents. It appears self evident that a green space with memorial benches, flower planters, a post box etc is a valued local amenity.</p> <p>The ownership of this land is unknown and it would appear that designating this as LGS would be an ideal solution. However TDC seem hell bent on removing this designation for dubious reasons in very questionable ways. As an example it is argued it is a "verge". Verges border the road, this area is not on the edge of the road but is bordered by pavement.</p> <p>This amenity adds greatly to the variability and attractiveness of the Reading Street conservation area. Quite why TDC are making such efforts to remove this site as it has no potential use is very concerning.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>

Organisation	First Name	Surname	Yes or No	Response	Link
	Danielle	Potton	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Betty	Scott-Kilvert		<p>Reading Street is a village!</p> <p>There is no designated village green and the green space referred to is the only green space in Reading Street.</p> <p>It serves as a 'resting place' for villagers and visitors. Residents from Maurice House (ex service personnel who have served this country and are mobile and can manage a walk) take a rest to reflect there.</p> <p>It is NOT a grass verge - the definition of a verge is when it meets directly on to a road - this is not the case as there is a path separating the grass and the road.</p> <p>It needs to remain as a green space and accessible to all.</p>	<a href="#">View Response</a>
	Betty	Scott-Kilvert	No		<a href="#">View Response</a>

Report run at 5 Nov 2019 15:35:49. Total records: 179.